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## Background: Curriculum Links

This series of activities provides a context in which students can learn about the role of observations and inferences in evidence-based scientific studies of cause and effect. It is relevant to Modules 1\&2 of the Investigating Science course in the NSW Senior Science curriculum. It immerses students in making observations and inferences about primary and secondary data using inductive and deductive methodologies. It focuses on the beginning of a scientific investigation, in which inferences are drawn from observations, then questions and hypotheses generated and predictions made that allow testing of these inferences in a planned investigation. Optionally, the activities can be developed into a full investigation that can be conducted as a depth study.

The activities in this module guide students through the collection of primary data about flight performance during an experiment using toy gliders and about wing shape while watching video footage of living birds. They provide an example of processing secondary data that measure wing shape in different bird species and of making predictions about their flight performance that are derived from the data using Bernoulli's Principle (Effect). Students are asked to communicate their understanding of the scientific process and of scientifically collected results in questions that elaborate curriculum points. Ideas in the main and extension activities can be used for developing further independent investigations (depth studies).

## Objective

Students:

- develop knowledge and understanding of cause and effect


## Year 11 course outcomes

A student:

## INS11-8 identifies that the collection of primary and secondary data initiates scientific investigations

## Role of Observations

Inquiry question: How does observation instigate scientific investigation?
Students:

- carry out a practical investigation to record both quantitative and qualitative data from observations of the Bernoulli effect/principle in toy gliders and birds
- discuss and evaluate the characteristics of observations made about birds compared to inferences drawn from the practical investigation with toy gliders


## Observations

Inquiry question：What are the benefits and drawbacks of qualitative and quantitative observations？
Students：
－carry out a practical activity to qualitatively and quantitatively describe wing shape and flight patterns in birds
－analyse the quantitative data from the following information sources：primary data collected during a guided＇flight＇experiment and secondary data about wing shape and wing loading 回目素
－evaluate the differences between qualitative and quantitative observations and data and where these are used in making inferences about flight patterns in birds 氬目

## Observations as Evidence

Inquiry question：How does primary data provide evidence for further investigation？
Students：
－use data gathered to plan a practical investigation about the effect of wing shape on flight performance to：颉
－pose further questions that will be investigated
－discuss the role of variables
－determine the independent and dependent variables
－formulate a hypothesis that links the independent and dependent variables
－describe at least three variables that should be controlled in order to increase the validity of the investigation
－develop a method to collect primary data for a practical investigation about the effect of wing shape on flight performance by：
－describing how to change the independent variable
－determining the characteristics of the measurements that will form the dependent variable
－describing how the data will be collected
－describing how the controlled variables will be made consistent
－describing how risks can be minimised
－evaluate how observation is limited by the observational tools available（tape measure vs description from visual observation）碰 目

## Observing，Collecting and Recording Data

Inquiry question：How does the collection and presentation of primary data affect the outcome of a scientific investigation？

Students：
－carry out the planned practical investigation，above，to collect primary data $\boldsymbol{p}^{\circ}$ 青
－apply conventions for collecting and recording observations to qualitatively and quantitatively analyse the primary data，including：加品
－tabulation after collecting data about Birds＇Wing Shapes from video footage
－（graphing secondary data in Maths Activity：Classifying Wing Shape［Norberg 2002］and Predicting Flight Pattern［Tennekes 2009］）
－visual representations that use a toy glider as a model of a bird
－digital representations using bird flight simulators in the Extension Activities
－compare the usefulness of observations recorded in the initial practical activity with the primary data gathered in a planned practical investigation

## Conclusions Promote Further Observations

Inquiry question：How do conclusions drawn from the interpretation of primary data promote further scientific investigation during the experiment planned by students？
Students：
－draw conclusions from the analysis of the primary data collected in the practical investigation $\psi^{\text {半 }}$
－evaluate the process of drawing conclusions from the primary data collected
－assess the findings of the scientific investigation in relation to the findings of other related investigations
－assess the need to make further observations by gathering data about other phenomena arising from the practical investigation ${ }^{\text {事 }}$

## Objective

Students：
－develop skills in applying the processes of Working Scientifically

## Stage 6 course outcomes

A student：

## Questioning and predicting

INS11／12－1 develops and evaluates questions and hypotheses for scientific investigation
Students：
－develop and evaluate inquiry questions and hypotheses to identify a concept that can be investigated scientifically，involving primary and secondary data
－modify questions and hypotheses to reflect new evidence

## Conducting investigations

INS11／12－3 conducts investigations to collect valid and reliable primary and secondary data and information

Students：
－employ and evaluate safe work practices and manage risks 畨
－use appropriate technologies to ensure and evaluate accuracy —

- select and extract information from a wide range of reliable secondary sources and acknowledge them using an accepted referencing style


## Processing data and information

INS11/12-4 selects and processes appropriate qualitative and quantitative data and information using a range of appropriate media

Students:

- select qualitative and quantitative data and information and represent them using a range of formats, digital technologies and appropriate media
- apply quantitative processes where appropriate 日
- evaluate and improve the quality of data


## Communicating

INS11/12-7 communicates scientific understanding using suitable language and terminology for a specific audience or purpose

Students:

- select and use suitable forms of digital, visual, written and/or oral forms of communication
- select and apply appropriate scientific notations, nomenclature and scientific language to communicate in a variety of contexts
- construct evidence-based arguments and engage in peer feedback to evaluate an argument or conclusion * *


## Optional Activities:

Planning investigations
INS11/12-2 designs and evaluates investigations in order to obtain primary and secondary data and information

## Analysing data and information

INS11/12-5 analyses and evaluates primary and secondary data and information

## Problem solving

INS11/12-6 solves scientific problems using primary and secondary data, critical thinking skills and scientific processes

## Birds and Bernoulli's Principle

The first section of the presentation provides a rationalé for studying Bernoulli's Principle in birds and standard definitions of Bernoulli's Principle in physics.

## Understanding 'Flight' in Kites and Gliders

The next two sections introduce explanations of flight using Bernoulli's Principle with the example of 'flying' a kite before exploring the 'flight' of a toy glider in an observational experiment.
In the 'flight' experiment the learning objective is to encourage students to think about the relationship between wing shape/design and glider flight performance. Based on how well the gliders perform, ask them to try to describe and compare the performances, and then to explain them using Bermoulli's principle:

- Why do you think the glider with longer wings tends to 'nose-dive'? (And the original glider and the one with longer and wider wings don't?)
- What would improve its performance? (eg., greater launch speed, lighter weight or lower 'wing loading')
- What happens when you try to launch the glider that has longer and wider wings with more force (=thrust)?


## Learning Outcomes:

- To understand the role of observing and explaining 'flight' in a toy glider, a simple model of a bird
- To understand how changing the length and width of the glider's wings changes its 'flight performance'


## 'Flight' Experiment:

You will use a toy glider as a simple 'bird'. Firstly, observe the shape of the glider's wings. After assembling it, 'test fly' the glider and observe how far and high it glides when you launch it with different force or 'thrust'. Now observe what happens when you launch the toy glider after you change the area of its wings.

## Materials required:

1. Toy foam glider purchased from a toy or department store ( $\sim \$ 3$, see below)
2. Discarded styrofoam packaging, eg. from a new fridge or washing machine
3. Sharp knife for cutting and paring the styrofoam
4. Discarded cardboard, eg. cereal and pizza boxes
5. Scissors and sticky tape
6. Digital scales that measure in tenths of a gram
7. Toy catapult and 25 m measuring tape
8. Small fan with several (at least 3 ) speed settings

## Method:

1. Assemble the glider (follow the instructions on the box)
2. Cut and pare the styrofoam to make two wings, each the same width as the originals but twice as long; shape the styrofoam so that the lower surface remains relatively flat and the upper surface is curved like an airfoil (see diagram of airfoil cross-section)
3. Using the catapult, launch the glider from the same point and measure with 25 m tape how far it glides (or throw 'javelin-style'); repeat 10 times and calculate the mean distance it 'flies'
4. Suspend the glider so that the belly just touches the ground (see below), point the fan at its nose and switch it on to the lowest speed, then the next fastest and so on, and record what happens to the glider
5. Trace the 2D shape of the Styrofoam wings on to cardboard, cut the cardboard 'wing extensions' out and attach them with sticky tape to the back edge of the Styrofoam (see
below), use the scales to decide how to adjust the weight of the wings so that they are approximately equal (within 1 g difference) by adding a strip of cardboard to the lighter wing along the back edge of the Styrofoam on the upper surface.
6. Repeat steps $3 \& 4$.

- A variation of longer wider wings made of styrofoam only was instructive as it doesn't work it tends to 'backflip' when launched

- The prototype with longer wider wings, note how the glider is suspended as per 4. above


7. What difference did you observe in the glider's flight path between the two modifications?

Try explaining the difference using Bernoulli's principle.
8. Try making some tail modifications and test fly/glide again (repeat steps 3 \& 4)

## Explaining Flight using Bernoulli's Principle and Forces

This section provides a scientific explanation of applying Bernoulli's Principle to flight in birds.
When air flows over an airfoil (wing), the air flows faster over the top of the wing and slower under the wing. The faster flowing air exerts a lower pressure than the slower moving air. The pressure difference causes an upward force called lift, which enables the bird to fly. Looking at flight from a physics point of view, there are four main forces. Weight is a force produced by gravity in the downward direction, and every flyer has to produce lift in order to counteract weight. Anything moving through air also experiences drag, which slows it down, so there must be a forward-moving force, called thrust, to oppose the force of drag. These two pairs of forces weight and lift, drag and thrust have to be roughly balanced in order for a bird to fly (Tong \& Schwab 2021).

See also: Minute Physics (2012). How does a wing actually work?
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aFO4PBolwFg\#t=60

## Results and Conclusions:

In this section students are asked to record their qualitative and quantitative observations during the experiment with the toy gliders and address questions about making observations and inferences in scientific studies in the context of the 'flight' experiment.

| Wing Shape/Size | Distances 'Flown' | Wing Shape/Size | 'Distances Flown' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

- Describe differences in flight path and lift you observed between the wing shapes/sizes:


## Evaluate the Experiment:

What was the experimental question asked? Can you formulate the hypothesis that was tested?
Identify the variables in the experiment.
After completing the guided experiment, what can you predict about the effect of wing size on flight performance?

Do you think the experiment was a valid and reliable test of the question/hypothesis? Why or why not?

## Design and Plan another Investigation, using the wing shapes available and/or other wing designs:

Pose further questions that can be investigated
Discuss the role of the variables used and other possible variables (those that were controlled but can be varied)

Determine the independent and dependent variables
Formulate a hypothesis that links the independent and dependent variables
Describe at least three variables that should be controlled in order to increase the validity of the investigation

## Develop a method to collect primary data for a practical investigation about the effect of wing shape on flight performance:

Describe how to change the independent variable
Determine the characteristics of the measurements that will form the dependent variable
Describe how the data will be collected
Describe how the controlled variables will be made consistent
Describing how risks can be minimised
Evaluate how observation is limited by the observational tools available (eg., using a tape measure vs verbal description from observation)

## Bird Wing Shapes and Flight Patterns

During this activity, encourage students to describe accurately what they see observe during the video footage about different types of birds that have differing body proportions and that show different flight behaviours. (See also ‘Travelling Birds' (2003) on DVD, David Attendorough's ‘Global Adventures', Episode 3 'The Rise of Nature', on ABC iView [first 23 min about evolution of flight] https://iview.abc.net.au/show/david-attenborough-s-global-adventure )

Learning Outcome: to accurately observe and describe wing shape and flight behaviour of some birds

## Instructions:

- Watch David Attenborough's ‘The Life of Birds', Episode 2 'The Mastery of Flight’ on DVD
- Observe the different types of birds and their wing shapes. Try to describe each bird's body size and shape, such as long and thin, short and round, large and torpedo-shaped, jetfighter-shaped, etc. Try to describe the wing shape. Also note the way the feathers are shaped at the outer ends of the wings. For example, an albatross has very long wings that are relatively narrow, many flight feathers that are also short relative to wing length, and the wing feathers are pointed at the outer end: therefore, their wings are 'very long, narrow and pointed'.
- Try to describe the main flying behaviour(s), such as soaring, flapping, diving with wings partly folded, undulating or alternately flapping and gliding, high-speed flying, hovering, etc. How do birds use their body, wings and tail to adjust their flying speed and direction?
- Observe the 'flight environment' for each different bird. Is it windy? Hot or cold weather? Are there lots of obstacles in the way like trees? Do the birds appear to use updrafts and thermals?
- Tabulate your observations

Table 1 has been completed table for reference

| Species | Body size <br> and shape | Wing shape | Outer <br> wing <br> feather <br> arrange- <br> ment | Observed <br> flight <br> environment | Observed flight <br> pattern |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Albatross | Large, <br> torpedo- <br> shaped | Very long and <br> narrow | Pointed | Very windy, <br> launch by <br> taxiing/running <br> updrafts from <br> waves/cliffs | Mostly soaring, some <br> shallow flapping, <br> controlled crash to land |
| Wedge-tailed <br> Shearwater | Large, <br> torpedo- <br> shaped | Long and <br> narrow | Pointed | Windy, launch <br> from sloping <br> tree | Mostly soaring, some <br> shallow flapping |
| Domestic <br> Pigeon | Medium and <br> barrel- <br> shaped | Medium <br> length and <br> width | Slightly <br> slotted | Calm, launch <br> by jumping and <br> two very strong <br> flaps | Fast flapping, some <br> gliding |
| Knot | Small to <br> medium, <br> bullet- <br> shaped | Medium <br> length and <br> narrow | Pointed | Clam to windy | Fast shallow flapping, <br> half folded upstroke |
| Mallard <br> (Duck) | Large, heavy <br> and boat- <br> shaped | Medium <br> length and <br> width | Slightly <br> slotted | Calm to windy | Fast shallow flapping <br> $\sim 70 k p h ~(\sim 40 m p h) ~$ |
| Osprey | Long and <br> medium <br> build | Long and wide | Slotted | Clam | Slow strong flaps, carries <br> fish straight |


| Pelican | Very large and round | Very long and wide | Slotted | Calm to windy, uses thermals | Slow strong flaps, flying in formation, strong flaps to land |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Griffin Vulture | Long and medium build | Very long and wide, highly curved | Slotted | Use of thermals, spiralling updrafts | Soaring, tiny movements of wing feathers and tail, lands by swooping upwards and tilting back |
| Mute Swan | Very large, heavy and round | Long and wide | Slotted | Landing only on water, calm | Strong flaps to land and feet out to brake |
| Peregrine Falcon | Medium length and thin | Medium length, narrow and back swept | Pointed | Calm or some wind | Strong flaps after 'stooping' with half folded back swept wings for maximum speed when hunting |
| Barn Owl | Medium length and medium build | Long, wide, curved | Rounded, fluffy margins | Calm | Strong, slow flaps and gliding when hunting |
| Kestrel | Small and thin | Medium length and narrow | Pointed | Gentle wind | Hovering, sometimes shallow flapping when hunting |
| Hummingbird | Very small and thin, hibernates every night | Short and narrow, symmetrical (not curved), create down draft, flap in any direction, steer with tail | Pointed | Mostly calm | Vertical posture, beating forwards and backwards in figure of $825+/ \mathrm{sec}$, flicking wing over on back stroke, moves in any direction |
| Western Sandpiper (migrating) | Small and bulletshaped | Medium length and narrow | Pointed | Winds from north | Fly in formation during migration |
| Hawks (migrating) | Medium length and build | Medium length and width | Slotted | Thermals over land | Soaring to great heights and gliding southwards repeatedly |
| Snow Goose (migrating) | Long and very round | Long and wide | Slotted | Any | Strong shallow flapping, flying in formation |
| Dicksissel (migrating) | Small and thin | Short and wide | Rounded | Any | Strong continuous flapping, flying in enormous flocks |

## Classifying Wing Shape and Predicting Flight Pattern

Classifying bird wing types and flight behaviours using Aspect Ratio, Wing Loading and Cruising Speed
This section of the presentation (from 'Wing Length' to 'Calculating Minimum Cruising Speed') describes how to calculate 'Aspect Ratio', 'Wing Loading', and 'Cruising Speed', aerodynamic variables that
measures a bird's wing structure, its ability to bear its own body weight on its wings during sustained flight and the minimum cruising speed of flight required to maintain lift. The aim is to encourage students to start thinking quantitatively about the effect of a bird's wing and body proportions on flight performance, and the implications of Bernoulli's principle for birds.

It is adapted from David Hyrenbach's (2019) 'Wing Ecomorphology Lab’, Seabird Ecology and Conservation course, Hawai'i Pacific University, https://www.pelagicos.net/classes seabirds fa18.htm, and Joanna Tong \& Adele Schwab's 'The Flight of Birds', Massachusetts Institute of Technology Open Courseware, https://studylib.net/doc/13562570/the-flight-of-birds-joanna-tong-andamp\%3B-adeleschwab

## Optional: see 'Bird Flight', Visual Resources for Ornithology (2001-2015). Drexel University, http://vireo.ansp.org/bird academy/bird flight.html

## Learning Outcomes:

- to use observations as evidence in explaining differences in wing shape and flight performance between birds
- to describe wing shape and flight performance quantitatively, calculating 'Aspect Ratio', 'Wing Loading', and 'Cruising Speed' (aerodynamic variables that measure a bird's wing structure, a bird's ability to bear its own body weight on its wings during sustained flight and the minimum cruising speed of flight required to maintain lift)


## Maths Activity: Classifying bird wing shapes and flight behaviours using Aspect Ratio,

 Wing Loading and Cruising SpeedIn this section students are asked to record and interpret their quantitative observations during the analysis of data sourced from Higgins et al. (1990-2006) Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds (use Microsoft Excel to assist with calculations and graphs), and to address inquiry questions about making observations and inferences in scientific studies in the context of investigations using primary and secondary data.

Inquiry question: How does observation instigate scientific investigation?

- Discuss and evaluate the characteristics of observations made about birds compared to inferences drawn from the practical investigation with toy gliders
Inquiry question: What are the benefits and drawbacks of qualitative and quantitative observations?
- Analyse the quantitative data from the following information sources: primary data collected during a guided 'flight' experiment and secondary data about wing shape and wing loading
- Evaluate the differences between qualitative and quantitative observations and data and where these are used in making inferences about flight patterns in birds
Inquiry question: How does the collection and presentation of primary data affect the outcome of a scientific investigation?
- Apply conventions for collecting and recording observations to qualitatively and quantitatively analyse the primary (and secondary) data
- tabulating primary data about Birds' Wing Shapes in previous activity (Table 1)
- tabulating secondary data and calculating Aspect Ratio, Wing Loading (in Newtons per m² not grams per $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ) and Cruising Speed for the Australian species listed (Table 2)
- graphing secondary data in Maths Activity: Classifying Wing Shape [Norberg 2002] and Predicting Flight
Pattern [Tennekes 2009]
a. Plot Aspect Ratio (y-axis) against Wing Loading (x-axis) and label the points by their Avian Order (use codes below table)
b. Plot Weight (y-axis) against Cruising Speed (x-axis) and label by Avian Order (use codes below table)
c. Compare your graphs with Norberg's (2002) and Tennekes' (2009) results
- Classify each species according to Wing Shape type and add to the Table 2 (Hint: use reference images to assist in classifying)
- Interpret from the graphs the range of values of Aspect Ratio, Wing Loading and minimum Cruising Speed that characterise each wing shape type. Explain the flight performance capable of each wing shape type using Benoulli's Principle; to inform your answer, use the results of your calculations and the position of each species relative to other species on the graphs of Aspect Ratio vs Wing Loading and Weight vs Cruising Speed:
- High Aspect Ratio
- High Speed
- Slotted High Lift
- Elliptical
- Do any species not fit into the four categories? How would you describe their wing shape and flight performance?
- Optional:
- visual representations that use a toy glider as a model of a bird
- digital representations using bird flight simulators in the Extension Activities
- Compare the usefulness of observations recorded in the initial practical activity with the primary data gathered in the planned practical investigation

Inquiry question: How do conclusions drawn from the interpretation of primary data promote further scientific investigation during the planned experiment?

- draw conclusions from the analysis of the primary data collected in the practical investigation
- evaluate the process of drawing conclusions from the primary data collected
- assess the findings of the scientific investigation in relation to the findings of other related investigations
- assess the need to make further observations by gathering data about other phenomena arising from the practical investigation


## Extension Activity: Modelling the Flying Bird

## Evaluate the authenticity of the following flight simulators:

Download: Pennycuick, C.J. (2008) Modelling the Flying Bird. The Netherlands: Elsevier Academic Press (https://books.google.com.au/books/about/Modelling the Flying Bird.html?id=KG86AgWwFEUC\&redir esc=y) and use simulation to predict a bird species' flight mode(s) and ecological habits from its wing shape, wing loading and cruising speed ( $\sim \$ 100$ )

Download and play: Scott, G. (2020) Aquila: Bird Flight Simulator https://www.aquila-bfs.com (~\$10)
[Free Download still under development (watch this space): Seagull Simulator, accessed on $12^{\text {th }}$ August 2021, from https://www2.unil.ch/biomapper/opengl/BirdFlight.html\#Download ]

Extension Activity: Engineering a Flying Bird
The Blue Jay is a small to medium-sized bird that lives in North America. The Go-Go-Bird toy (https://www.zing.toys/go-go-bird) has been engineered to fly like a Blue Jay, or has it? Evaluate the flight of the Go-Go-Bird compared with that of a real Blue Jay, using videos (Blue Jay: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SZgp 3SLv90; Go-Go-Bird:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXqAQWi3XRM\&t=63s).
What are the similarities and differences in their flight performance and maneuverability? Use estimates of Aspect Ratio and Wing Loading to assist with your evaluation and write a short report (~500 words).

Blue Jay measurements: Body length=30cm, Weight=100g, Wingspan=43cm, Wing Width=12cm
Go-Go-Bird measurements: Body length=22cm, Weight=10g, Wingspan=28cm, Wing Width=8cm
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APPENDIX: Table 2 (note that some species have 'hybrid' wing shapes)

| Order | Species | Body Length cm | Wingspan (S) cm | Wing Chord (C) cm | Aspect Ratio $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C})$ | Body Mass (m) kg | Body Weight (W) N [W=ma, $\mathrm{a}=9.8$ ] | Wing <br> Area $\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{S}^{*} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Wing Loading $(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{A})$ <br> $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ | Cruising Speed (V) $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Wing <br> Shape <br> Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Podicipediformes <br> (W) | Australasian Grebe | 23-25 | 39 | 7.67 |  | 0.096-0.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Procellariformes <br> (O) | Wandering Albatross | 110-135 | 250-350 | 23.66 |  | 6-11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pelicaniformes <br> (W) | Little Pied Cormorant | 55-65 | 85-90 | 14.99 |  | 0.41-0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (0) | Great Frigatebird | 85-105 | 205-230 | 21.72 |  | 0.95-1.95 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ciconiiformes <br> (W) | Straw-necked Ibis | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 60-70 \\ & (35-40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 100-120 | 14.50 |  | 1.15-1.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anseriformes <br> (LW) | Magpie Goose | 70-90 | 125-180 | 29.95 |  | 2.07-2.83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Wandering Whistling-Duck | 55-60 | 80-90 | 15.96 |  | 0.45-0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Musk Duck | 55-66 | 72-87 | 14.41 |  | 0.99-3.12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (LW) | Black Swan | $\begin{aligned} & 110-140 \\ & (55-70) \end{aligned}$ | 160-200 | 30.30 |  | 4.6-8.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Australian Shelduck | 56-72 | 94-132 | 19.57 |  | 0.99-1.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Maned/Wood Duck | 47-48 | 78-80 | 15.48 |  | 0.66-0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Pacific Black Duck | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 47-60 \\ & (32-40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 80-100 | 15.32 |  | 0.6-1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Hardhead | $\begin{aligned} & 45-60 \\ & (30-40) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 65-70 | 12.89 |  | 0.53-1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Falconiformes <br> (R) | Wedge-tailed Eagle | 85-105 | 185-230 | 41.41 |  | 2.03-5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (HS) | Peregrine Falcon | 35-50 | 80-105 | 15.42 |  | 0.36-0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Galliformes <br> (G) | Australian BrushTurkey | 60-70 | 85 | 26.39 |  | 1.07-2.45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turniciformes <br> (G) | Painted ButtonQuail | 17-23 | 28-38 | 7.33 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.072- \\ & 0.134 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| Order | Species | Body Length cm | Wingspan (S) cm | Wing Chord (C) cm | Aspect Ratio $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C})$ | Body Mass (m) kg | Body <br> Weight <br> (W) N <br> [W=ma, <br> $\mathrm{a}=9.8$ ] | Wing <br> Area $(A=S * C)$ m2 | Wing Loading (L=W/A) | Cruising Speed (V) m s-1 | Wing <br> Shape <br> Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (W) | Purple Swamphen | 44-48 | 70-88 | 20.53 |  | 0.77-1.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (W) | Eurasian Coot | 35-39 | 56-64 | 11.65 |  | 0.52-0.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charadriiformes <br> (S) | Pacific Golden Plover | 23-26 | 60-72 | 7.32 |  | 0.11-0.18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (S) | Bar-tailed Godwit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 37-39, Bill } \\ & (8-10.8) \end{aligned}$ | 62-75 | 10.56 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.237- \\ & 0.504 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (S) | Little Tern | 20-28 | 45-55 | 7.95 |  | 0.035-0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Columbiformes <br> (PD) | Wompoo FruitDove | 35-45 | $\sim 65-70$ | 17.12 |  | 0.22-0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (PD) | White-headed Pigeon | 38-42 | 65-70 | 17.28 |  | 0.22-0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (PD) | Diamond Dove | 20-24 | 30-32 | 7.09 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.036- \\ & 0.066 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psittaciformes <br> (P) | Sulphur-crested Cockatoo | 48-55 | 103 | 28.99 |  | 0.5-1.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (P) | Rainbow Lorikeet | 28-32 | 46 | 8.45 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.075- \\ & 0.157 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (P) | Budgerigar | 17-18 | 25-30 | 5.02 |  | 0.012-0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cuculiformes <br> (C) | Fan-tailed Cuckoo | 24-28 | 34-42 | 8.58 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.037- \\ & 0.062 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (C) | Channel-billed Cuckoo | 56-70 | 88-107 | 20.20 |  | 0.45-0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strigiformes <br> (R) | Powerful Owl | 50-60 | 110-140 | 29.69 |  | 0.99-2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (R) | Barn Owl | 29-38 | 70-100 | 17.35 |  | 0.25-0.47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caprimulgiformes <br> (N) | Tawny Frogmouth | 35-50 | 75-95 | 20.96 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.123- \\ & 0.555 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (N) | Australian Owlet-Nightjar | 19-25 | 34-50 | 9.35 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.035- \\ & 0.065 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| Order | Species | Body Length cm | Wingspan (S) cm | Wing Chord <br> (C) cm | Aspect Ratio ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{C}$ ) | Body Mass <br> (m) g <br> (NB <br> convert to <br> kg) | Body <br> Weight <br> (W) N <br> [ $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{ma}$, <br> $\mathrm{a}=9.8$ ] | Wing <br> Area <br> ( $A=S^{*} C$ ) <br> m2 | Wing Loading ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{A}$ ) | Cruising <br> Speed (V) <br> $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | Wing <br> Shape <br> Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Apodiforme } \\ & \text { (HS) } \end{aligned}$ | White-throated Needletail | 20-22 | 49 | 6.03 |  | 47-120 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coraciiformes <br> (KR) | Sacred Kingfisher | 21-23 | 33-37 | 7.24 |  | 28-75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (KR) | Dollarbird | 26-29 | 55-65 | 12.61 |  | 51-180 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Passeriformes <br> (E) | Superb Lyrebird | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 78-103, \\ & \text { Tail 25-71 } \end{aligned}$ | 68-76 | 29.90 |  | 721-1200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Southern Emuwren | 13.5-19 | 9-19 | 4.78 |  | 5-9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Yellow-faced Honeyeater | 15-17.5 | 21.5-26 | 7.49 |  | 12-20.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Brown Thornbill | 9-11.5 | 12.5-16 | 4.87 |  | 5-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Grey-crowned Babbler | 23-26.5 | 35 | 10.86 |  | 52-88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Golden Whistler | 16-19 | 25-30 | 8.22 |  | 15-37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Grey Fantail | 14-16.5 | 18-22.5 | 6.61 |  | 5.7-11.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Australian Magpie | 37-43 | 65-85 | 18.69 |  | 190-410 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (E) | Zebra Finch | 9-11.5 | 12.5-17 | 4.65 |  | 9.4-16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| (HS) | Welcome Swallow | 14-16 | 26-31 | 5.79 |  | 9-20 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{W}=$ waterbird, $\mathrm{LW}=$ large waterbird, $\mathrm{O}=o c e a n-$ going, $\mathrm{R}=$ raptor, $\mathrm{AF}=$ aerial forager, $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{ground}, \mathrm{S}=$ shorebird, $\mathrm{PD}=$ pigeon/dove, $\mathrm{P}=$ parrot, $\mathrm{C}=$ cuckoo, $\mathrm{N}=$ nightjar,
$K R=$ kingfisher/roller, $\mathrm{E}=$ perching bird

